

Topic Introduction: Primes in **Short Intervals**; Irregularities of Distribution (the **Maier Matrix Method**)

Justin Scarfy

The University of British Columbia



January 19, 2011

Motivation

"It is evident that the primes are *randomly* distributed *but*,

Motivation

"It is evident that the primes are randomly distributed *but*, unfortunately, we don't know what **random** means."
– R. C. Vaughan (February 1990)

Motivation

"It is evident that the primes are randomly distributed *but*, unfortunately, we don't know what **random** means."

– R. C. Vaughan (February 1990)

- Hence, we are interested in the functions formed by the **difference of two consecutive primes**: $p_{n+1} - p_n$, and **the number of primes in a given interval** $[x, y]$: $\pi(x + y) - \pi(x)$

Results to $p_{n+1} - p_n$

Results under the assumption of the Riemann Hypothesis:

Results to $p_{n+1} - p_n$

Results under the assumption of the Riemann Hypothesis:

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{p_n} \log^2 p_n), \text{ Immediate from RH}$$

Results to $p_{n+1} - p_n$

Results under the assumption of the Riemann Hypothesis:

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{p_n} \log^2 p_n), \text{ Immediate from RH}$$

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{p_n} \log p_n), \text{ (Cramér 1920)}$$

Results to $p_{n+1} - p_n$

Results under the assumption of the Riemann Hypothesis:

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{p_n} \log^2 p_n), \text{ Immediate from RH}$$

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{p_n} \log p_n), \text{ (Cramér 1920)}$$

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = \mathcal{O}(p_n^{\epsilon}), \text{ (Cramér 1920)}$$

Results to $p_{n+1} - p_n$

Results under the assumption of the Riemann Hypothesis:

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{p_n} \log^2 p_n), \text{ Immediate from RH}$$

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{p_n} \log p_n), \text{ (Cramér 1920)}$$

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = \mathcal{O}(p_n^\epsilon), \text{ (Cramér 1920)}$$

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = o(\log^3 p_n) \text{ (Cramér Mid 1930s)}$$

Results to $p_{n+1} - p_n$

Results under the assumption of the Riemann Hypothesis:

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{p_n} \log^2 p_n), \text{ Immediate from RH}$$

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{p_n} \log p_n), \text{ (Cramér 1920)}$$

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = \mathcal{O}(p_n^\epsilon), \text{ (Cramér 1920)}$$

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = o(\log^3 p_n) \text{ (Cramér Mid 1930s)}$$

Latest Unconditional Results:

Results to $p_{n+1} - p_n$

Results under the assumption of the Riemann Hypothesis:

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{p_n} \log^2 p_n), \text{ Immediate from RH}$$

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{p_n} \log p_n), \text{ (Cramér 1920)}$$

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = \mathcal{O}(p_n^\epsilon), \text{ (Cramér 1920)}$$

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = o(\log^3 p_n) \text{ (Cramér Mid 1930s)}$$

Latest Unconditional Results:

Upper Bound: (Baker, Harman, and Pintz 2001)

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = \mathcal{O}(p_n^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{40}})$$

Results to $p_{n+1} - p_n$

Results under the assumption of the Riemann Hypothesis:

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{p_n} \log^2 p_n), \text{ Immediate from RH}$$

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{p_n} \log p_n), \text{ (Cramér 1920)}$$

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = \mathcal{O}(p_n^\epsilon), \text{ (Cramér 1920)}$$

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = o(\log^3 p_n) \text{ (Cramér Mid 1930s)}$$

Latest Unconditional Results:

Upper Bound: (Baker, Harman, and Pintz 2001)

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = \mathcal{O}(p_n^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{40}})$$

Lower Bound: (Pintz 1997)

$$p_{n+1} - p_n > \frac{c \log n \log \log n \log \log \log n}{(\log \log \log n)^2}$$

with $c = 2e^\gamma$, for infinitely many n

The Irregular Patterns in the Distribution of Primes

Theorem (Maier, 1985)

For any $A > 1$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\pi(n + \log^A n) - \pi(n)}{\log^{A-1} n} \geq 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{\pi(n + \log^A n) - \pi(n)}{\log^{A-1} n} \leq 1$$

The Irregular Patterns in the Distribution of Primes

Theorem (Maier, 1985)

For any $A > 1$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\pi(n + \log^A n) - \pi(n)}{\log^{A-1} n} \geq 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{\pi(n + \log^A n) - \pi(n)}{\log^{A-1} n} \leq 1$$

The Proof uses the **Maier Matrix**:

$$\begin{bmatrix} Qx + 1 & Qx + 2 & Qx + 3 & \dots & Qx + y^C \\ Q(x + 1) + 1 & Q(x + 1) + 2 & \dots & \dots & Q(x + 1) + y^C \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ Q(2x) + 1 & Q(2x) + 2 & Q(2x) + 3 & \dots & Q(2x) + y^C \end{bmatrix}$$

The Irregular Patterns in the Distribution of Primes

Theorem (Maier, 1985)

For any $A > 1$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\pi(n + \log^A n) - \pi(n)}{\log^{A-1} n} \geq 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{\pi(n + \log^A n) - \pi(n)}{\log^{A-1} n} \leq 1$$

The Proof uses the **Maier Matrix**:

$$\begin{bmatrix} Qx + 1 & Qx + 2 & Qx + 3 & \dots & Qx + y^C \\ Q(x + 1) + 1 & Q(x + 1) + 2 & \dots & \dots & Q(x + 1) + y^C \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ Q(2x) + 1 & Q(2x) + 2 & Q(2x) + 3 & \dots & Q(2x) + y^C \end{bmatrix}$$

where y is the variable, $Q = \prod_{p < y} p$, $x = Q^D$, for sufficiently large D , C is to be determined.

The Irregular Patterns in the Distribution of Primes

Theorem (Maier, 1985)

For any $A > 1$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\pi(n + \log^A n) - \pi(n)}{\log^{A-1} n} \geq 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{\pi(n + \log^A n) - \pi(n)}{\log^{A-1} n} \leq 1$$

The Proof uses the **Maier Matrix**:

$$\begin{bmatrix} Qx + 1 & Qx + 2 & Qx + 3 & \dots & Qx + y^C \\ Q(x + 1) + 1 & Q(x + 1) + 2 & \dots & \dots & Q(x + 1) + y^C \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ Q(2x) + 1 & Q(2x) + 2 & Q(2x) + 3 & \dots & Q(2x) + y^C \end{bmatrix}$$

where y is the variable, $Q = \prod_{p < y} p$, $x = Q^D$, for sufficiently large D , C is to be determined. Notice that all the columns form **arithmetic progression modulo Q** .

Results due to the use of Maier Matrix

The Prime Number Theorem for Arithmetic Progression assures that each column j that is coprime to Q , should contain $\sim \frac{Q}{\phi(Q)} \frac{x}{\log(Qx)}$ primes.

Results due to the use of Maier Matrix

The Prime Number Theorem for Arithmetic Progression assures that each column j that is coprime to Q , should contain $\sim \frac{Q}{\phi(Q)} \frac{x}{\log(Qx)}$ primes. Thus, the number of primes in an average row is

$$\Phi(y^C, y) \sim y^C \frac{\phi(Q)}{Q} e^{\gamma} \omega(C)$$

where $\omega(C)$ is a function converges to $e^{-\gamma}$, but oscillates above and below $e^{-\gamma}$