Zeros On the Critical Line II: A Finite Proportion of the Zeros lie on the Critical Line

Justin Scarfy

The University of British Columbia



February 23, 2011

Let $N_0(T)$ denote the number of zeros $\rho = \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma$ of $\zeta(s)$. (T > 0)Last time, we saw that $N_0(T) \to \infty$ as $T \to \infty$.

Let $N_0(T)$ denote the number of zeros $\rho = \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma$ of $\zeta(s)$. (T > 0)Last time, we saw that $N_0(T) \to \infty$ as $T \to \infty$.

Hardy and Littlewood (1921) proved $N_0(T) \gg T$: Their essential idea is to divide the interval (T, 2T) in pairs of abutting intervals, and prove that each abutting interval contains a zero

Let $N_0(T)$ denote the number of zeros $\rho = \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma$ of $\zeta(s)$. (T > 0)Last time, we saw that $N_0(T) \to \infty$ as $T \to \infty$.

Hardy and Littlewood (1921) proved $N_0(T) \gg T$: Their essential idea is to divide the interval (T, 2T) in pairs of abutting intervals, and prove that each abutting interval contains a zero

Selberg later improved this, first (1942a) to $N_0(T) \gg T \log \log T$ and then (1942b) $N_0(T) \gg T \log T$, so that a positive proportion of the zeros are on the critical line.

Let $N_0(T)$ denote the number of zeros $\rho = \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma$ of $\zeta(s)$. (T > 0)Last time, we saw that $N_0(T) \to \infty$ as $T \to \infty$.

Hardy and Littlewood (1921) proved $N_0(T) \gg T$: Their essential idea is to divide the interval (T, 2T) in pairs of abutting intervals, and prove that each abutting interval contains a zero

Selberg later improved this, first (1942a) to $N_0(T) \gg T \log \log T$ and then (1942b) $N_0(T) \gg T \log T$, so that a positive proportion of the zeros are on the critical line.

On the Riemann Hypothesis:

$$N_0(T) = N(T) \sim \frac{1}{2\pi} T \log T$$

Let $N_0(T)$ denote the number of zeros $\rho = \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma$ of $\zeta(s)$. (T > 0)Last time, we saw that $N_0(T) \to \infty$ as $T \to \infty$.

Hardy and Littlewood (1921) proved $N_0(T) \gg T$: Their essential idea is to divide the interval (T, 2T) in pairs of abutting intervals, and prove that each abutting interval contains a zero

Selberg later improved this, first (1942a) to $N_0(T) \gg T \log \log T$ and then (1942b) $N_0(T) \gg T \log T$, so that a positive proportion of the zeros are on the critical line.

On the Riemann Hypothesis:

$$N_0(T) = N(T) \sim \frac{1}{2\pi} T \log T$$

Selberg's (1942b) proof modifies Hardy and Littlewood's (1921) proof by employing a general case of the Fourier transformations used in their (1921) paper. He then maneuvered these transforms to prove this Theorem

Let F(u), f(y) be functions related by the Fourier formulae

$$F(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) e^{iyu} \, dy \qquad f(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(u) e^{-iyu} \, du$$

Let F(u), f(y) be functions related by the Fourier formulae

$$F(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y)e^{iyu} dy \qquad f(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(u)e^{-iyu} du$$

Integrating over (t, t + H), we obtain

$$\int_{t}^{t+H} F(u) \, du = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) \frac{e^{iyH} - 1}{iy} e^{iyt} \, dy,$$

so that $\int_{t}^{t+H} F(u) \, du$ and $f(y) \frac{e^{iyH} - 1}{iy}$ are Fourier transforms.

Let F(u), f(y) be functions related by the Fourier formulae

$$F(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) e^{iyu} \, dy \qquad f(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(u) e^{-iyu} \, du$$

Integrating over (t, t + H), we obtain

$$\int_{t}^{t+H} F(u) \, du = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) \frac{e^{iyH} - 1}{iy} e^{iyt} \, dy,$$

so that $\int_{t}^{t+H} F(u) \, du$ and $f(y) \frac{e^{iyH} - 1}{iy}$ are Fourier transforms.

Parseval's Theorem of the normal form gives (for F(u) real, f(y) even):

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_{t}^{t+H} F(u) \, du \right|^2 dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| f(y) \frac{e^{iyH} - 1}{iy} \right|^2 dy$$

(1)

Let F(u), f(y) be functions related by the Fourier formulae

$$F(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) e^{iyu} \, dy \qquad f(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(u) e^{-iyu} \, du$$

Integrating over (t, t + H), we obtain

$$\int_{t}^{t+H} F(u) \, du = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) \frac{e^{iyH} - 1}{iy} e^{iyt} \, dy,$$

so that $\int_{t}^{t+H} F(u) \, du$ and $f(y) \frac{e^{iyH} - 1}{iy}$ are Fourier transforms.

Parseval's Theorem of the normal form gives (for F(u) real, f(y) even):

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_{t}^{t+H} F(u) \, du \right|^{2} dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| f(y) \frac{e^{iyH} - 1}{iy} \right|^{2} dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(y)|^{2} \frac{4 \sin^{2}(\frac{1}{2}Hy)}{y^{2}} \, dy$$
$$\leq 2H^{2} \int_{0}^{1/H} |f(y)|^{2} \, dy + 8 \int_{1/H}^{\infty} \frac{|f(y)|^{2}}{y^{2}} \, dy \tag{1}$$

Some Definitions Selberg used to Improve the Result

Define α_{ν} by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\zeta(s)}} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_{\nu}}{\nu^s} \quad (\sigma > 1), \quad \alpha_1 = 1$$

Then we see from the Euler product that $\alpha_{\mu} \alpha_{\nu} = \alpha_{\mu\nu}$ if $(\mu, \nu) = 1$. (i.e. α is multiplicative!)

Some Definitions Selberg used to Improve the Result

Define α_{ν} by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\zeta(s)}} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_{\nu}}{\nu^s} \quad (\sigma > 1), \quad \alpha_1 = 1$$

Then we see from the Euler product that $\alpha_{\mu} \alpha_{\nu} = \alpha_{\mu\nu}$ if $(\mu, \nu) = 1$. (i.e. α is multiplicative!)

Since the series for $(1-z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ dominates that for $(1-z)^{\frac{1}{2}}$: If $\sqrt{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha'_{\nu}}{\nu^s}, \quad \alpha'_1 = 1$, then $|\alpha_{\nu}| \le \alpha'_{\nu} \le 1$

Some Definitions Selberg used to Improve the Result

Define α_{ν} by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\zeta(s)}} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_{\nu}}{\nu^s} \quad (\sigma > 1), \quad \alpha_1 = 1$$

Then we see from the Euler product that $\alpha_{\mu} \alpha_{\nu} = \alpha_{\mu\nu}$ if $(\mu, \nu) = 1$. (i.e. α is multiplicative!)

Since the series for
$$(1-z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$
 dominates that for $(1-z)^{\frac{1}{2}}$:
If $\sqrt{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha'_{\nu}}{\nu^{s}}, \quad \alpha'_{1} = 1$, then $|\alpha_{\nu}| \le \alpha'_{\nu} \le 1$

$$\beta_{\nu} := \alpha_{\nu} \left(1 - \frac{\log \nu}{\log X} \right) \qquad (1 \le \nu < X)$$

Hence, all sums involving β_{ν} run over [1, X] become: (as we may assume $\beta_{\nu} = 0$ for $\nu \ge X$)

$$\phi(s) := \sum \frac{\beta_{\nu}}{\nu^s}$$

$$\Phi(z) := \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}s\right) \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}s} \zeta(s) \phi(s) \phi(1-s) z^s \, ds \qquad \text{where } c > 1$$

$$\Phi(z) := \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}s\right) \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}s} \zeta(s) \phi(s) \phi(1-s) z^s \, ds \qquad \text{where } c > 1$$

Move the line of integration to $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ and evaluate the residue at s = 1:

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{1}{2} z \phi(1) \phi(0) + \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2} - i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2} + i\infty} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}s\right) \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}s} \zeta(s) \phi(s) \phi(1-s) z^s \, ds$$

$$\Phi(z) := \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}s\right) \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}s} \zeta(s) \phi(s) \phi(1-s) z^s \, ds \qquad \text{where } c > 1$$

Move the line of integration to $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ and evaluate the residue at s = 1:

$$\begin{split} \Phi(z) &= \frac{1}{2} z \phi(1) \phi(0) + \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2} - i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2} + i\infty} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}s\right) \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}s} \zeta(s) \phi(s) \phi(1-s) z^s \, ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} z \phi(1) \phi(0) + \frac{z^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{2} - i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2} + i\infty} \frac{\Xi(t)}{t^2 + \frac{1}{4}} \left| \phi\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \right|^2 z^{it} \, dt \end{split}$$

$$\Phi(z) := \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}s\right) \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}s} \zeta(s) \phi(s) \phi(1-s) z^s \, ds \qquad \text{where } c > 1$$

Move the line of integration to $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ and evaluate the residue at s = 1:

$$\begin{split} \Phi(z) &= \frac{1}{2} z \phi(1) \phi(0) + \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2} - i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2} + i\infty} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}s\right) \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}s} \zeta(s) \phi(s) \phi(1-s) z^s \, ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} z \phi(1) \phi(0) + \frac{z^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{2} - i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2} + i\infty} \frac{\Xi(t)}{t^2 + \frac{1}{4}} \left| \phi\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \right|^2 z^{it} \, dt \end{split}$$

On the other hand, by definition of ϕ :

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu} \sum_{\nu} \beta_{\mu} \beta_{\nu} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}s\right) \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}s} \frac{z^s}{n^s \mu^s \nu^{1-s}} z^s \, ds$$

(2)

$$\Phi(z) := \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}s\right) \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}s} \zeta(s) \phi(s) \phi(1-s) z^s \, ds \qquad \text{where } c > 1$$

Move the line of integration to $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ and evaluate the residue at s = 1:

$$\begin{split} \Phi(z) &= \frac{1}{2} z \phi(1) \phi(0) + \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2} - i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2} + i\infty} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}s\right) \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}s} \zeta(s) \phi(s) \phi(1-s) z^s \, ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} z \phi(1) \phi(0) + \frac{z^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{2} - i\infty}^{\frac{1}{2} + i\infty} \frac{\Xi(t)}{t^2 + \frac{1}{4}} \left| \phi\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \right|^2 z^{it} \, dt \end{split}$$

On the other hand, by definition of ϕ :

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu} \sum_{\nu} \beta_{\mu} \beta_{\nu} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}s\right) \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}s} \frac{z^s}{n^s \mu^s \nu^{1-s}} z^s \, ds$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu} \sum_{\nu} \frac{\beta_{\mu} \beta_{\nu}}{\nu} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi n^2 \mu^2}{z^2 \nu^2}\right)$$

(2)

Putting $z = e^{-i(\frac{1}{4}\pi - \frac{1}{2}\delta) - y}$, it follows that the functions:

$$F(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}} \frac{\Xi(t)}{t^2 + \frac{1}{4}} \left| \phi\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \right|^2 e^{(\frac{1}{4}\pi - \frac{1}{2}\delta)t}$$
(3)
$$f(y) = \frac{1}{2} z^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi(1) \phi(0) - z^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu} \sum_{\nu} \frac{\beta_{\mu} \beta_{\nu}}{\nu} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi n^2 \mu^2}{z^2 \nu^2}\right)$$
(4)

are Fourier transforms.

Putting $z = e^{-i(\frac{1}{4}\pi - \frac{1}{2}\delta) - y}$, it follows that the functions:

$$F(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}} \frac{\Xi(t)}{t^2 + \frac{1}{4}} \left| \phi\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \right|^2 e^{(\frac{1}{4}\pi - \frac{1}{2}\delta)t}$$
(3)

$$f(y) = \frac{1}{2} z^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi(1) \phi(0) - z^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu} \sum_{\nu} \frac{\beta_{\mu} \beta_{\nu}}{\nu} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi n^2 \mu^2}{z^2 \nu^2}\right)$$
(4)

are Fourier transforms.

Inserting $y = \log x$, $G = e^{1/H}$ in (1) with F(t) and f(y) defined above for $H \le 1$, the first integral on the right equals to

$$\int_{1}^{G} \left| \frac{e^{-i(\frac{1}{4}\pi - \frac{1}{2}\delta)}}{2x} \phi(1)\phi(0) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu} \sum_{\nu} \frac{\beta_{\mu}\beta_{\nu}}{\nu} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi n^{2}\mu^{2}}{z^{2}\nu^{2}} e^{i(\frac{1}{2}\pi - \delta)}x^{2}\right) \right|^{2} dx$$

Putting $z = e^{-i(\frac{1}{4}\pi - \frac{1}{2}\delta) - y}$, it follows that the functions:

$$F(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}} \frac{\Xi(t)}{t^2 + \frac{1}{4}} \left| \phi\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \right|^2 e^{(\frac{1}{4}\pi - \frac{1}{2}\delta)t}$$
(3)

$$f(y) = \frac{1}{2} z^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi(1) \phi(0) - z^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu} \sum_{\nu} \frac{\beta_{\mu} \beta_{\nu}}{\nu} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi n^2 \mu^2}{z^2 \nu^2}\right)$$
(4)

are Fourier transforms.

Inserting $y = \log x$, $G = e^{1/H}$ in (1) with F(t) and f(y) defined above for $H \le 1$, the first integral on the right equals to

$$\int_{1}^{G} \left| \frac{e^{-i(\frac{1}{4}\pi - \frac{1}{2}\delta)}}{2x} \phi(1)\phi(0) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu} \sum_{\nu} \frac{\beta_{\mu}\beta_{\nu}}{\nu} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi n^{2}\mu^{2}}{z^{2}\nu^{2}} e^{i(\frac{1}{2}\pi - \delta)}x^{2}\right) \right|^{2} dx$$

Calling the triple sum g(x), then the above equation is not greater than: $2\int_{1}^{G} \frac{|\phi(1)\phi(0)|^2}{4x^2} dx + 2\int_{1}^{G} |g(x)|^2 dx < \frac{1}{2}|\phi(1)\phi(0)|^2 + 2\int_{1}^{G} |g(x)|^2 dx$

$$g(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu} \sum_{\nu} \frac{\beta_{\mu} \beta_{\nu}}{\nu} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi n^2 \mu^2}{z^2 \nu^2} e^{i(\frac{1}{2}\pi - \delta)} x^2\right)$$

$$g(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu} \sum_{\nu} \frac{\beta_{\mu} \beta_{\nu}}{\nu} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi n^2 \mu^2}{z^2 \nu^2} e^{i(\frac{1}{2}\pi - \delta)} x^2\right)$$

Similarly, we can show that the second integral in (1) does not exceed

$$\frac{|\phi(1)\phi(0)|^2}{2G\log^2 G} + 2\int_G^\infty \frac{|g(x)|^2}{\log^2 x} \, dx$$

$$g(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu} \sum_{\nu} \frac{\beta_{\mu} \beta_{\nu}}{\nu} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi n^2 \mu^2}{z^2 \nu^2} e^{i(\frac{1}{2}\pi - \delta)} x^2\right)$$

Similarly, we can show that the second integral in (1) does not exceed

$$\frac{|\phi(1)\phi(0)|^2}{2G\log^2 G} + 2\int_G^\infty \frac{|g(x)|^2}{\log^2 x} \, dx$$

We thus obtained upper bounds for integrals (1) as $\delta \rightarrow 0$,

$$g(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu} \sum_{\nu} \frac{\beta_{\mu} \beta_{\nu}}{\nu} \exp\Big(-\frac{\pi n^2 \mu^2}{z^2 \nu^2} e^{i(\frac{1}{2}\pi - \delta)} x^2\Big)$$

Similarly, we can show that the second integral in (1) does not exceed

$$\frac{|\phi(1)\phi(0)|^2}{2G\log^2 G} + 2\int_G^\infty \frac{|g(x)|^2}{\log^2 x} \, dx$$

We thus obtained upper bounds for integrals (1) as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, but if we consider:

$$J(x, \theta) := \int_{x}^{\infty} |g(u)|^{2} u^{-\theta} du \qquad (0 < \theta \le \frac{1}{2}, 1 \le x)$$
$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu} \frac{\beta_{\kappa} \beta_{\lambda} \beta_{\mu} \beta_{\nu}}{\lambda \nu} \int_{x}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-\pi \left(\frac{m^{2} \kappa^{2}}{\lambda^{2}} + \frac{n^{2} \mu^{2}}{\nu^{2}}\right) u^{2} \sin \delta + i\pi \left(\frac{m^{2} \kappa^{2}}{\lambda^{2}} - \frac{n^{2} \mu^{2}}{\nu^{2}}\right) u^{2} \cos \delta\right\} \frac{du}{u^{\theta}}$$

and let \sum_1 denote the sum of those terms in which $m\kappa/\lambda = n\mu/\nu$, and \sum_2 the remainder.

Justin Scarfy (UBC)

Zeros On the Critical Line I

$$\sum_{1} := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu} \frac{\beta_{\kappa} \beta_{\lambda} \beta_{\mu} \beta_{\nu}}{\lambda \nu} \int_{x}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-2\pi \left(\frac{m^{2} \kappa^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}\right) u^{2} \sin \delta\right\} \frac{du}{u^{\theta}}$$
$$\sum_{2} := J(x, \theta) - \sum_{1}$$

$$\sum_{1} := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu} \frac{\beta_{\kappa} \beta_{\lambda} \beta_{\mu} \beta_{\nu}}{\lambda \nu} \int_{x}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-2\pi \left(\frac{m^{2} \kappa^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}\right) u^{2} \sin \delta\right\} \frac{du}{u^{\theta}}$$
$$\sum_{2} := J(x, \theta) - \sum_{1}$$

It is shown that

$$\sum_{1} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}\theta} x^{\theta} \log X}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left\{\frac{(\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} x X^{2})^{\theta}}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta x^{\theta} \log X}\right\} + \mathcal{O}\left\{\frac{x^{1-\theta} \log(X/\theta)}{\theta} X^{2} \log^{2} X\right\}$$

$$\sum_{1} := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu} \frac{\beta_{\kappa} \beta_{\lambda} \beta_{\mu} \beta_{\nu}}{\lambda \nu} \int_{x}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-2\pi \left(\frac{m^{2} \kappa^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}\right) u^{2} \sin \delta\right\} \frac{du}{u^{\theta}}$$
$$\sum_{2} := J(x, \theta) - \sum_{1}$$

It is shown that

$$\sum_{1} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}\theta} x^{\theta} \log X}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left\{\frac{(\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} x X^{2})^{\theta}}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta x^{\theta} \log X}\right\} + \mathcal{O}\left\{\frac{x^{1-\theta} \log(X/\theta)}{\theta} X^{2} \log^{2} X\right\}$$

We may ultimately take $X = \delta^{-c}$, and $H = (a \log X)^{-1}$, where *a* and *c* are suitable positive constant. Then $G = X^a = \delta^{-ac}$.

$$\sum_{1} := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu} \frac{\beta_{\kappa} \beta_{\lambda} \beta_{\mu} \beta_{\nu}}{\lambda \nu} \int_{x}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-2\pi \left(\frac{m^{2} \kappa^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}\right) u^{2} \sin \delta\right\} \frac{du}{u^{\theta}}$$
$$\sum_{2} := J(x, \theta) - \sum_{1}$$

It is shown that

$$\sum_{1} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}\theta} x^{\theta} \log X}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left\{\frac{(\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} x X^{2})^{\theta}}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}\theta} x^{\theta} \log X}\right\} + \mathcal{O}\left\{\frac{x^{1-\theta} \log(X/\theta)}{\theta} X^{2} \log^{2} X\right\}$$

We may ultimately take $X = \delta^{-c}$, and $H = (a \log X)^{-1}$, where *a* and *c* are suitable positive constant. Then $G = X^a = \delta^{-ac}$. If $x \le G$, the last two terms can be omitted with the first if $GX^2 = O(\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ i.e. if $(a + 2)c \le \frac{1}{4}$, we have:

$$\sum_{1} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}\theta} x^{\theta} \log X}\right)$$

$$\sum_{1} := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu} \frac{\beta_{\kappa} \beta_{\lambda} \beta_{\mu} \beta_{\nu}}{\lambda \nu} \int_{x}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-2\pi \left(\frac{m^{2} \kappa^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}\right) u^{2} \sin \delta\right\} \frac{du}{u^{\theta}}$$
$$\sum_{2} := J(x, \theta) - \sum_{1}$$

It is shown that

а

$$\sum_{1} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}\theta} x^{\theta} \log X}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left\{\frac{(\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} x X^{2})^{\theta}}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta x^{\theta} \log X}\right\} + \mathcal{O}\left\{\frac{x^{1-\theta} \log(X/\theta)}{\theta} X^{2} \log^{2} X\right\}$$

We may ultimately take $X = \delta^{-c}$, and $H = (a \log X)^{-1}$, where *a* and *c* are suitable positive constant. Then $G = X^a = \delta^{-ac}$. If $x \le G$, the last two terms can be omitted with the first if $GX^2 = O(\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ i.e. if $(a + 2)c \le \frac{1}{4}$, we have:

$$\sum_{1} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}\theta} x^{\theta} \log X}\right)$$

and for $X = \delta^{-c}$, with $0 \le c \le \frac{1}{8}$
$$\sum_{2} = \mathcal{O}\left\{\frac{1}{x^{\theta}} \sum_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\kappa} \log \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{1}{\kappa \mu} \log^{2} \frac{1}{\delta}\right)\right\} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{X^{4}}{x^{\theta}} \log^{2} \frac{1}{\delta}\right)$$

Estimates for integrals over the Fourier formulae

Lemma 3

Under the assumption of the estimate of \sum_1 and \sum_2 from the previous slide, we can deduce that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_{t}^{t+H} F(u) \, du \right|^{2} dt = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{h}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \log X}\right)$$

(5)

Estimates for integrals over the Fourier formulae

Lemma 3

Under the assumption of the estimate of \sum_1 and \sum_2 from the previous slide, we can deduce that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_{t}^{t+H} F(u) \, du \right|^{2} dt = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{h}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \log X}\right)$$

Lemma 4

Similarly, one can show that:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \int_{t}^{t+H} |F(u)| \, du \right\}^2 dt = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{h^2 \log(1/\delta)}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \log X}\right) \tag{6}$$

(5)

Estimates for integrals over the Fourier formulae

Lemma 3

Under the assumption of the estimate of \sum_1 and \sum_2 from the previous slide, we can deduce that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_{t}^{t+H} F(u) \, du \right|^{2} dt = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{h}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \log X}\right)$$

Lemma 4

Similarly, one can show that:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \int_{t}^{t+H} |F(u)| \, du \right\}^2 dt = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{h^2 \log(1/\delta)}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \log X}\right) \tag{6}$$

The proofs of these Lemmas use the property that $J(x, \theta)$ is uniformly convergent with respect to θ , and then taking special values of the *x*'s and the θ 's.

(5)

$N_0(T) \gg T \log T$

$N_0(T) \gg T \log T$

Proof of Theorem (1/3)

Let *E* be the subset of (0, T), where

$$\int_{t}^{t+h} |F(u)| \, du > \left| \int_{t}^{t+h} F(u) \, du \right|$$

For such values t, F(u) must change sign in (t, t + h), then so does $\Xi(t)$.

$N_0(T) \gg T \log T$

Proof of Theorem (1/3)

Let *E* be the subset of (0, T), where

$$|F(u)| du > \left| \int_{t}^{t+h} F(u) du \right|$$

For such values t, F(u) must change sign in (t, t + h), then so does $\Xi(t)$. Since the two sides of the following are equal expect in E:

$$\int_{E} dt \int_{t}^{t+h} |F(u)| \, du \ge \int_{E} \left\{ \int_{t}^{t+h} |F(u)| \, du - \left| \int_{t}^{t+h} F(u) \, du \right| \right\} dt$$

(T)

$N_0(T) \gg T \log T$

Proof of Theorem (1/3)

Let *E* be the subset of (0, T), where

$$|F(u)|\,du > \left|\int_{t}^{t+h} F(u)\,du\right|$$

For such values t, F(u) must change sign in (t, t + h), then so does $\Xi(t)$. Since the two sides of the following are equal expect in E:

$$\int_{E} dt \int_{t}^{t+h} |F(u)| \, du \ge \int_{E} \left\{ \int_{t}^{t+h} |F(u)| \, du - \left| \int_{t}^{t+h} F(u) \, du \right| \right\} dt$$
$$= \int_{0}^{T} \left\{ \int_{t}^{t+h} |F(u)| \, du - \left| \int_{t}^{t+h} F(u) \, du \right| \right\} dt$$
$$> AhT^{\frac{3}{4}} - \int_{0}^{T} \left| \int_{t}^{t+h} F(u) \, du \right| dt$$
(7)

By Lemma 4 with $\delta = 1/T$, we obtain an upper bound:

$$\int_{E} dt \int_{t}^{t+h} |F(u)| \, du \leq \left\{ \int_{E} dt \int_{E} \left(\int_{t}^{t+h} |F(u)| \, du \right)^{2} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{Cauchy} - \text{Schwarz}$$

(8)

By Lemma 4 with $\delta = 1/T$, we obtain an upper bound:

$$\int_{E} dt \int_{t}^{t+h} |F(u)| du \leq \left\{ \int_{E} dt \int_{E} \left(\int_{t}^{t+h} |F(u)| du \right)^{2} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{Cauchy} - \text{Schwarz}$$
$$\leq \left\{ m(E) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{t}^{t+h} |F(u)| du \right)^{2} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$< A\{m(E)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} hT^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{\log T}{\log X} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(8)

By Lemma 4 with $\delta = 1/T$, we obtain an upper bound:

$$\int_{E} dt \int_{t}^{t+h} |F(u)| du \leq \left\{ \int_{E} dt \int_{E} \left(\int_{t}^{t+h} |F(u)| du \right)^{2} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{Cauchy} - \text{Schwarz}$$
$$\leq \left\{ m(E) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{t}^{t+h} |F(u)| du \right)^{2} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$< A\{m(E)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} hT^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{\log T}{\log X} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{8}$$

By Lemma 3, we see that

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left\{ \int_{t}^{t+h} |F(u)| \, du - \left| \int_{t}^{t+h} F(u) \, du \right| \right\} dt \le \left\{ \int_{0}^{T} dt \int_{0}^{T} \left| \int_{t}^{t+h} F(u) \, du \right|^{2} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} < \frac{Ah^{\frac{1}{2}}T^{\frac{3}{4}}}{\log^{\frac{1}{2}} X}$$
(9)

Therefore, by (7), (8), (9)

$$\{m(E)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} > A_1 T^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\log X}{\log T}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - A_2 \frac{T^{\frac{1}{2}}}{h^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^{\frac{1}{2}} T},$$

where A_1 and A_2 denote the particular constants which occur.

Therefore, by (7), (8), (9)

$$\{m(E)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} > A_1 T^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\log X}{\log T}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - A_2 \frac{T^{\frac{1}{2}}}{h^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^{\frac{1}{2}} T},$$

where A_1 and A_2 denote the particular constants which occur.

Since $X = T^c$ and $h = (a \log X)^{-1}$:

$$\{m(E)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} > A_1^{\frac{1}{2}}T^{\frac{1}{2}} - A_2(ac)^{\frac{1}{2}}T^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Taking *a* small enough, it follows: $m(E) > A_3T$.

Therefore, by (7), (8), (9)

$$\{m(E)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} > A_1 T^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\log X}{\log T}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - A_2 \frac{T^{\frac{1}{2}}}{h^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^{\frac{1}{2}} T},$$

where A_1 and A_2 denote the particular constants which occur.

Since $X = T^c$ and $h = (a \log X)^{-1}$:

$$\{m(E)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} > A_1^{\frac{1}{2}}T^{\frac{1}{2}} - A_2(ac)^{\frac{1}{2}}T^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Taking *a* small enough, it follows: $m(E) > A_3T$.

Hence, of the partitions (0, h), (h, 2h), ... contained in (0, T), at least $[A_3T/h]$ must contain points of *E*. If (nh, (n + 1)h) contains a point *t* of *E*, a zero of $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + iu)$ must be in (t, t + h), and so does (nh, (n + 2)h)

Therefore, by (7), (8), (9)

$$\{m(E)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} > A_1 T^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\log X}{\log T}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - A_2 \frac{T^{\frac{1}{2}}}{h^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^{\frac{1}{2}} T},$$

where A_1 and A_2 denote the particular constants which occur.

Since $X = T^c$ and $h = (a \log X)^{-1}$:

$$\{m(E)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} > A_1^{\frac{1}{2}}T^{\frac{1}{2}} - A_2(ac)^{\frac{1}{2}}T^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Taking *a* small enough, it follows: $m(E) > A_3T$.

Hence, of the partitions (0, h), (h, 2h), ... contained in (0, T), at least $[A_3T/h]$ must contain points of *E*. If (nh, (n + 1)h) contains a point *t* of *E*, a zero of $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + iu)$ must be in (t, t + h), and so does (nh, (n + 2)h)

Recall the fact that each zero might be counted twice this way, there must be at least $\frac{1}{2}[A_3T/h] > AT \log T$ zeros in (0, T)