Basics of Hodge-de Rham Theory IV: Hodge Theory for the Fundamental Group(oid) and Drinfeld Associator

Justin Scarfy

The University of British Columbia



February 25, 2015

Recall we stated one version of the Chen's de Rham Theorem for fundamental groups:

Recall we stated one version of the Chen's de Rham Theorem for fundamental groups:

Theorem (Chen)

The injective homomorphism

$$\int : H^0(\operatorname{Ch}(P_{x,y}M;F)) \to \operatorname{Hom}_F^{\mathsf{cts}}(\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M,x),F)$$

is surjective, and therefore an isomorphism of Hopf algebras. Moreover, it is an isomorphism of filtered Hopf algebras, i.e., for each $m \ge 0$, integration induces an isomorphism

$$L_m H^0(\operatorname{Ch}(P_{x,y}M;F)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_F^{\mathsf{cts}}(\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M,x)/J^{m+1},F).$$

Recall we stated one version of the Chen's de Rham Theorem for fundamental groups:

Theorem (Chen)

The injective homomorphism

$$\int : H^0(\operatorname{Ch}(P_{x,y}M;F)) \to \operatorname{Hom}_F^{\mathsf{cts}}(\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M,x),F)$$

is surjective, and therefore an isomorphism of Hopf algebras. Moreover, it is an isomorphism of filtered Hopf algebras, i.e., for each $m \ge 0$, integration induces an isomorphism

 $L_m H^0(\operatorname{Ch}(P_{x,y}M;F)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_F^{\mathsf{cts}}(\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M,x)/J^{m+1},F).$

and promised to give a proof to the special case when M is a Zariski open subset of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$, i.e., $M = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus S$, S a finite subset of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$.

Remark

• If S is empty, $M=\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ then is simply connected, and there is nothing to prove.

Remark

- If S is empty, $M=\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ then is simply connected, and there is nothing to prove.
- Hence assume $S \neq \emptyset$. As $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ acts transitively, we may assume $\infty \in S$, i.e, $S = \{a_1, \ldots, a_N, \infty\}$, and $U := \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus S$.

Remark

- If S is empty, $M=\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ then is simply connected, and there is nothing to prove.
- Hence assume $S \neq \emptyset$. As $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ acts transitively, we may assume $\infty \in S$, i.e, $S = \{a_1, \ldots, a_N, \infty\}$, and $U := \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus S$.

The holomorphic 1 forms on U with logarithmic poles on S, $H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\log S)),$ has basis

$$w_j := \frac{dz}{z - a_j}, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$$

Remark

- If S is empty, $M=\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ then is simply connected, and there is nothing to prove.
- Hence assume $S \neq \emptyset$. As $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ acts transitively, we may assume $\infty \in S$, i.e, $S = \{a_1, \ldots, a_N, \infty\}$, and $U := \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus S$.

The holomorphic 1 forms on U with logarithmic poles on S, $H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\log S)),$ has basis

$$w_j := \frac{dz}{z - a_j}, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$$

Denote $\operatorname{Ch}(H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\log S)))$ the set of iterated integrals built up from elements of $H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\log S))$.

Proposition

For each $x \in U$, the composite

 $\mathrm{Ch}(H^{0}(\Omega^{1}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(\log S))) \hookrightarrow H^{0}(\mathrm{Ch}(P_{x,x}U;\mathbb{C})) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathsf{cts}}(\mathbb{Z}\pi_{1}(U,x),\mathbb{C})$

is a Hopf algebra isomorphism.

Proposition

For each $x \in U$, the composite

 $\mathrm{Ch}(H^{0}(\Omega^{1}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(\log S))) \hookrightarrow H^{0}(\mathrm{Ch}(P_{x,x}U;\mathbb{C})) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathsf{cts}}(\mathbb{Z}\pi_{1}(U,x),\mathbb{C})$

is a Hopf algebra isomorphism.

Sketch of Proof. Define $\epsilon : A := \mathbb{C}[[X_1, \dots, X_N]] \to \mathbb{C}$, the augmentation map by taking a power series to its constant term, and hence the augmentation ideal ker ϵ is the maximal ideal (X_1, \dots, X_N) .

Proposition

For each $x \in U$, the composite

 $\mathrm{Ch}(H^{0}(\Omega^{1}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(\log S))) \hookrightarrow H^{0}(\mathrm{Ch}(P_{x,x}U;\mathbb{C})) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathsf{cts}}(\mathbb{Z}\pi_{1}(U,x),\mathbb{C})$

is a Hopf algebra isomorphism.

Sketch of Proof. Define $\epsilon : A := \mathbb{C}[[X_1, \dots, X_N]] \to \mathbb{C}$, the augmentation map by taking a power series to its constant term, and hence the augmentation ideal ker ϵ is the maximal ideal (X_1, \dots, X_N) . Now view the formal power series

$$T = 1 + \sum_{j} \int \omega_j X_j + \sum_{j,k} \int \omega_j \omega_k X_j X_K + \dots \in \operatorname{Ch}(H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\log S)))[[X_1, \dots$$

as an A-valued iterated integral, where the coefficients of the monomial $X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_r}$ is $\int \omega_{i_1} \cdots \omega_{i_r}$.

Justin Scarfy (UBC)

Since each coefficient is a homotopy functional, evaluating each coefficient on a path defines a mapping

$$\pi_1(U, x) \to A, \quad \gamma \mapsto \langle T, \gamma \rangle.$$

Since each coefficient is a homotopy functional, evaluating each coefficient on a path defines a mapping

$$\pi_1(U, x) \to A, \quad \gamma \mapsto \langle T, \gamma \rangle.$$

The coproduct property of iterated integrals implies that this is an homomorphism, and hence it induces a homomorphism
 Θ: C[π₁(U, x)] → A.

Since each coefficient is a homotopy functional, evaluating each coefficient on a path defines a mapping

$$\pi_1(U, x) \to A, \quad \gamma \mapsto \langle T, \gamma \rangle.$$

- The coproduct property of iterated integrals implies that this is an homomorphism, and hence it induces a homomorphism
 Θ: C[π₁(U, x)] → A.
- The nilpotence property of iterated integrals implies that $\Theta(J^m) \subseteq I^m$, i.e., Θ is continuous, and hence it induces a homomorphism

$$\widehat{\Theta}: \widehat{\mathbb{C}[\pi_1(U, x)]} \to A.$$

Since each coefficient is a homotopy functional, evaluating each coefficient on a path defines a mapping

$$\pi_1(U, x) \to A, \quad \gamma \mapsto \langle T, \gamma \rangle.$$

- The coproduct property of iterated integrals implies that this is an homomorphism, and hence it induces a homomorphism
 Θ: C[π₁(U, x)] → A.
- The nilpotence property of iterated integrals implies that $\Theta(J^m) \subseteq I^m$, i.e., Θ is continuous, and hence it induces a homomorphism

$$\widehat{\Theta}: \widehat{\mathbb{C}[\pi_1(U,x)]} \to A.$$







Now you may verify that $\widehat{\Theta} \circ \Phi$ induces an isomorphism on I/I^2 , and thus $\widehat{\Theta}$ is an isomorphism. This in turn implies that in

 $\mathrm{Ch}(H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\log S))) \hookrightarrow H^0(\mathrm{Ch}(P_{x,x}U;\mathbb{C})) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathsf{cts}}(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(U,x)],\mathbb{C}),$

the coefficients of T span $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathsf{cts}}(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(U, x)], \mathbb{C}).$



Now you may verify that $\widehat{\Theta} \circ \Phi$ induces an isomorphism on I/I^2 , and thus $\widehat{\Theta}$ is an isomorphism. This in turn implies that in

 $\mathrm{Ch}(H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\log S))) \hookrightarrow H^0(\mathrm{Ch}(P_{x,x}U;\mathbb{C})) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathsf{cts}}(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(U,x)],\mathbb{C}),$

6 / 14

the coefficients of T span $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathsf{cts}}(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(U, x)], \mathbb{C}).$

Remark

You can play the same game on fundamental groupoids for smooth manifolds Justin Scarfy (UBC) Hodge-de Rham IV February 25, 2015

For $A = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$ or \mathbb{R} , recall a A-mixed Hodge structure (MHS) H consisted of an A-module of finite type, with two finite filtrations, an increasing weight filtration W_{\bullet} on the rational vector space, and a decreasing Hodge filtration F^{\bullet} on the complexified vector space that satisfy some intricate complementary triple filtrations conditions.

For $A = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$ or \mathbb{R} , recall a A-mixed Hodge structure (MHS) H consisted of an A-module of finite type, with two finite filtrations, an increasing weight filtration W_{\bullet} on the rational vector space, and a decreasing Hodge filtration F^{\bullet} on the complexified vector space that satisfy some intricate complementary triple filtrations conditions.

A natural MHTS

We now construct a natural mixed Hodge-Tate structure on

$$V_{\mathbb{Z}} := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(U, x)]/J^{n+1}, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where its complexification,

$$V_{\mathbb{C}} := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(U, x)] / J^{n+1}, \mathbb{C}),$$

is identified with $L_n \operatorname{Ch}(H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\log S)))$ by the isomorphism we just proved.

• Define the weight filtration by

$$W_{2m}V_{\mathbb{Q}} := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(U, x)]/J^{n+1}, \mathbb{Q}),$$

and note by Chen's dR Theorem, the complexified weight filtration is simply the length filtration:

$$W_{2m}V_{\mathbb{C}} = L_m \operatorname{Ch}(H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\log S))).$$

• Define the weight filtration by

$$W_{2m}V_{\mathbb{Q}} := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(U, x)]/J^{n+1}, \mathbb{Q}),$$

and note by Chen's dR Theorem, the complexified weight filtration is simply the length filtration:

$$W_{2m}V_{\mathbb{C}} = L_m \operatorname{Ch}(H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\log S))).$$

• The p^{th} term in the Hodge filtration is defined as the linear span of iterated integrals in $L_n \operatorname{Ch}(H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\log S)))$ of length $\geq p$.

• Define the weight filtration by

$$W_{2m}V_{\mathbb{Q}} := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(U, x)]/J^{n+1}, \mathbb{Q}),$$

and note by Chen's dR Theorem, the complexified weight filtration is simply the length filtration:

$$W_{2m}V_{\mathbb{C}} = L_m \operatorname{Ch}(H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\log S))).$$

- The p^{th} term in the Hodge filtration is defined as the linear span of iterated integrals in $L_n \operatorname{Ch}(H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\log S)))$ of length $\geq p$.
- The group $F^m V_{\mathbb{C}} \cap W_{2m} V_{\mathbb{C}}$ consists of those elements of $L_n \operatorname{Ch}(H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\log S)))$ of length exactly m.

• Define the weight filtration by

$$W_{2m}V_{\mathbb{Q}} := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(U, x)]/J^{n+1}, \mathbb{Q}),$$

and note by Chen's dR Theorem, the complexified weight filtration is simply the length filtration:

$$W_{2m}V_{\mathbb{C}} = L_m \operatorname{Ch}(H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\log S))).$$

- The p^{th} term in the Hodge filtration is defined as the linear span of iterated integrals in $L_n \operatorname{Ch}(H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\log S)))$ of length $\geq p$.
- The group $F^m V_{\mathbb{C}} \cap W_{2m} V_{\mathbb{C}}$ consists of those elements of $L_n \operatorname{Ch}(H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\log S)))$ of length exactly m.

Hence these filtrations defined a MHS on V.

Hodge Theory for the Fundamental Group(oid) (3/4)In addition, since the $2m^{\text{th}}$ weight graded quotient

$$\operatorname{Gr}_{2m}^{W}V := W_{2m}V/W_{2m-2}V = \bigoplus \mathbb{Q}(-m),$$

it is a Mixed Hodge-Tate structure (MHTS), and complexification the space of integrated integrals

$$\{\int \omega_{j_1} \cdots \omega_{j_m} : \omega_{j_k} \in H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\log S))\} \cong H^1(U; \mathbb{C})^{\otimes m},$$

of length exactly m.

Hodge Theory for the Fundamental Group(oid) (3/4)In addition, since the $2m^{\text{th}}$ weight graded quotient

$$\operatorname{Gr}_{2m}^{W}V := W_{2m}V/W_{2m-2}V = \bigoplus \mathbb{Q}(-m),$$

it is a Mixed Hodge-Tate structure (MHTS), and complexification the space of integrated integrals

$$\{\int \omega_{j_1} \cdots \omega_{j_m} : \omega_{j_k} \in H^0(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\log S))\} \cong H^1(U; \mathbb{C})^{\otimes m},$$

of length exactly m.

Hodge Theory for the Fundamental Groupoid

The same method can be used to define a mixed Hodge-Tate structure on

$$H_0(P_{x,y}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})\setminus S))/J^{n+1}_{x,y}),$$

a fundamental groupoid.

Preamble to Mixed Tate Motives (1/2)

Philosophy of motives over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$

Motives over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$ should arise as invariants (cohomology, homotopy, etc.) of varieties (and stacks) defined over \mathbb{Z} that have good reduction at every prime number. Obvious examples include the projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^N_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and the moduli stacks of curves $\mathcal{M}_{q,n}$.

Preamble to Mixed Tate Motives (1/2)

Philosophy of motives over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$

Motives over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$ should arise as invariants (cohomology, homotopy, etc.) of varieties (and stacks) defined over \mathbb{Z} that have good reduction at every prime number. Obvious examples include the projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^N_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and the moduli stacks of curves $\mathcal{M}_{q,n}$.

For now we are interested in the open subsets of the projective line:

$$U_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1 \setminus S := \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}[z, t_1, \dots, t_N]/(z - a_j)t_j - 1 : j = 1, \dots, N),$$

with $S = \{a_1, \ldots, a_N, \infty\}$, as usual, and each $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. This has good reduction at the prime p if he cardinality of $S \pmod{p}$ equals that of S, Now take $U = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$. In order to consider the fundamental group of U, we need a base point x. If we choose $x \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, then the pair (U, x) has bad reduction at the prime p whenever $p \mid x(x-1)$ as then the base point reduces to 0 or 1, which are not in $U(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Justin Scarfy (UBC)

Preamble to Mixed Tate Motives (2/2)

Necessity of asymptotic base points

This forces us to consider "asymptotic base points." These are tangent vectors of $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}$ at $\{0, 1, \infty\}$ that are non-zero at each prime p, such as

 $\vec{01} := \partial/\partial z \in T_0 \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\vec{10} := -\partial/\partial z \in T_1 \mathbb{P}^1$.

Necessity of asymptotic base points

This forces us to consider "asymptotic base points." These are tangent vectors of $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}$ at $\{0,1,\infty\}$ that are non-zero at each prime p, such as

$$ec{01}:=\partial/\partial z\in T_0\mathbb{P}^1$$
 and $ec{10}:=-\partial/\partial z\in T_1\mathbb{P}^1.$

Moreover, the **tannakian category** of \mathbb{Q} -mixed Tate motives over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$ does exist via the works of Voevodsky, Levine and Goncharov. Deligne and Goncharov have shown that the direct system

 $0 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(U, x)/J^2], \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(U, x)/J^n], \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \cdots$

of the $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}[\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}], \vec{01})/J^{n+1}, \mathbb{Q})$ is a directed system in this category.

Necessity of asymptotic base points

This forces us to consider "asymptotic base points." These are tangent vectors of $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}$ at $\{0,1,\infty\}$ that are non-zero at each prime p, such as

$$ec{01}:=\partial/\partial z\in T_0\mathbb{P}^1$$
 and $ec{10}:=-\partial/\partial z\in T_1\mathbb{P}^1.$

Moreover, the **tannakian category** of \mathbb{Q} -mixed Tate motives over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$ does exist via the works of Voevodsky, Levine and Goncharov. Deligne and Goncharov have shown that the direct system

 $0 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(U, x)/J^2], \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(U, x)/J^n], \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \cdots$

of the $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}[\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{0,1,\infty\}],\vec{01})/J^{n+1},\mathbb{Q})$ is a directed system in this category. We shall exploit the topological and Hodge theoretic aspects of $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{0,1,\infty\},\vec{01})$ and $\pi_0(P_{\vec{01},\vec{10}}(\mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{0,1,\infty\}))$ in the rest of this lecture.

Drinfeld Associator - a premier (1/2)

Now consider the fundamental groupoid $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ with objects the two tangent vectors $\vec{01} \in T_0 \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\vec{10} \in T_1 \mathbb{P}^1$. This is generated by the paths [see whiteboard], where $\sigma_0 \in P_{\vec{01},\vec{01}}(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\})$ and $\sigma_1 \in P_{\vec{10},\vec{10}}(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\})$

Drinfeld Associator - a premier (1/2)

Now consider the fundamental groupoid $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ with objects the two tangent vectors $\vec{01} \in T_0 \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\vec{10} \in T_1 \mathbb{P}^1$. This is generated by the paths [see whiteboard], where $\sigma_0 \in P_{\vec{01},\vec{01}}(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\})$ and $\sigma_1 \in P_{\vec{10},\vec{10}}(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\})$ Set

$$\Phi(X_0, X_1) := \widehat{\Theta}_{\vec{0}\vec{1}, \vec{1}\vec{0}}([0, 1]) = \lim_{t \to 0} t^{X_0} T([t, 1-t]) t^{X_1} \in A.$$

This is known as the Drinfeld associator and was first constructed by V. Drinfeld.

Drinfeld Associator - a premier (2/2)

Theorem

The periods of the limit mixed Hodge-Tate structure on $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}, \vec{01})^{\frown}]$ is precisely $\mathsf{MZV}_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathsf{MZV} \oplus i\pi\mathsf{MZV}.$

Drinfeld Associator - a premier (2/2)

Theorem

The periods of the limit mixed Hodge-Tate structure on $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}, \vec{01})^{\hat{}}]$ is precisely $\mathsf{MZV}_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathsf{MZV} \oplus i\pi\mathsf{MZV}.$

Proof

Since $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}, \vec{01})$ is generated by the paths σ_0 and $[0, 1]\sigma_1[1, 0]$,

$$\widehat{\Theta}_{\vec{0}\vec{1},\vec{0}\vec{1}}(\sigma_0) = e^{2\pi i X_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\Theta}_{\vec{0}\vec{1},\vec{0}\vec{1}}(\sigma_1) = e^{-2\pi i X_1}$$

and the fact that the coefficients of $\Phi(X_0, X_1)$ are MZVs. [Blackbox]

Summary and Future Directions

Recall in the past 4 lectures we learned

- Basics of Hodge theory.
- Ø Hodge-de Rham Spectral Sequences.
- Iterated Integrals and Chen's de Rham Theorem for the fundamental group.
- In Hodge theory for the fundamental groupoid.

Future directions:

- Multiple zeta values.
- Polylogrithms.
- Motivic Cohomology.
- Mixed Motives.